UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,632	11/09/2005	Yves Rayssiguier	1487-27	4695
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 04/28/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			CRANE, LAWRENCE E	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/539,632	RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lawrence E. Crane	1623			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Res</u>	sponse after final received			
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane</u> .	(3)				
(2) B. J. Sadoff (voice mail message).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>25 April 2008</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: All of record					
Claims discussed: all of record, claim 11 specifically					
Prior art documents discussed: none in detail.					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was advised that the amendment had overcome the rejections under 112, 1 st , 2 nd and 4 th paragraphs but that the rejection for obviousness had not been overcome, a result that would be summarized in an Advisory Action forthcoming.					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Lauranaa E. Crana/					
/Lawrence E. Crane/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			